




Understanding Eligibility Using Scenarios Assessment Review

FL ID&R Office – December 2020



Objective

- ▶ Review the assessment questions to the *Understanding Eligibility Using Scenarios* webinar to ensure understanding of training material.



Florida ID&R Office Web-based Assessment

Understanding Eligibility Using Scenarios

Lupi Ginn

Question 1 of 12

Scenario 1:
David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?


A) One: Greenville, NC on June 29, 2020

B) One: Greenville, NC on July 2, 2020

C) One: Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020

D) Two: Greenville, NC on June 29, 2020, and Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020

Next ▶



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION
fldoe.org

Assessment Review

Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 1:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Assessment Review

What is a qualifying move?



Non-Regulatory Guidance, D1.

Reminder: Anyone can make a qualifying move, but that does not automatically establish a Migratory Qualifying Worker or a Migratory Child.

Assessment Review

Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. **This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020**, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. **The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020**, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 1:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Answer: Two: Immokalee, FL to Greenville, North Carolina on June 29, 2020 and Greenville, North Carolina, to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020.

Assessment Review

Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 2:

What is the children's residency date?

Assessment Review

What is a residency date?

The residency date is the date the child(ren) entered into the present school district.

National Certificate of Eligibility Instructions: Child Data

Reminder: If the child(ren) qualified for the MEP on a move prior to the move to the present school district, the residency date will be later than the QAD. If the child(ren) moved prior to the worker's move, the residency date would precede the QAD. Note, although it is possible to record a residency date that precedes the QAD, a COE cannot be filled out and a child cannot be enrolled in the MEP until after the child and worker each make a qualifying move.

Assessment Review

Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. **The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020**, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 2:

When is the children's residency date?

Answer: July 20, 2020.

Assessment Review


Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes on July 2, 2020. The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 3:

Which of the following moves established David as a Migratory Qualifying Worker?

The Migratory Qualifying Worker:



All criteria must be met for the individual to meet the definition of a Migratory Qualifying Worker

Assessment Review

Scenario 1:

David Rodriguez, his wife, and their three school-age children reside in Immokalee, FL. Every year the family moves to Greenville, North Carolina to pick tomatoes. **This year the family moved to NC on June 29, 2020, and began picking tomatoes** on July 2, 2020. The family returned to Immokalee, FL on July 20, 2020, and dad engaged in non-qualifying work on July 30, 2020.

Question 3:

Which of the following moves established David as a Migratory Qualifying Worker?

Answer: The move from Immokalee, FL to Greenville, North Carolina on June 29, 2020 where David picked tomatoes soon after the move.

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age children arrived in Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields. Anna picked broccoli in AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in Indio, California on March 17, 2019. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 4:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age children arrived in **Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020**, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields. Anna picked broccoli in **AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019**. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in **Indio, California on March 17, 2019**. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 4:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Answer: Three: Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020, Yuma, AZ on June 3, 2019 and Indio, CA on March 17, 2019.

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age children arrived in Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields. Anna picked broccoli in AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in Indio, California on March 17, 2019. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 5:

When is the children's residency date?

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age **children arrived in Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020**, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields. Anna picked broccoli in AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in Indio, California on March 17, 2019. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 5:

When is the children's residency date?

Answer: July 1, 2020.

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age children arrived in Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields. Anna picked broccoli in AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in Indio, California on March 17, 2019. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 6:

Which of the following moves established Anna as a Migratory Qualifying Worker?

Assessment Review

Scenario 2:

Anna Vasquez and her three school-age children arrived in **Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020**, after Anna heard of employment opportunities in the area. The family arrived from **Yuma, Arizona to Florida to clean watermelon fields**. Anna picked broccoli in **AZ when she first arrived on June 3, 2019**. Before that, she sorted grapes when she first arrived in **Indio, California on March 17, 2019**. The recruiter interviews Anna on July 2, 2020, and finds out that Anna is currently waiting to hear back from the crew leader.

Question 6:

Which of the following moves established Anna as a Migratory Qualifying Worker?

Answer: The move to Wauchula, FL on July 1, 2020, the move to Yuma, AZ on June 3, 2019, and the move to Indio, CA on March 17, 2019.

Assessment Review

Question 7:

True or False. If an individual has actively sought qualifying work soon after the move and has two recent history of moves for qualifying work, a COE is accurately completed using Section III, 4A or 4B.

Answer: True. A COE is accurately completed by using 4A or 4B.

Reason for using **4A** instead of 4B:

- ▶ The interviewee may not have accurate recall of two recent history of moves for qualifying work or the MQW only has one prior recent history of moves for qualifying work.

Assessment Review

Question 7:

True or False. If an individual has actively sought qualifying work soon after the move and has two recent history of moves for qualifying work, a COE is accurately completed using Section III, 4A or 4B.

Answer: **True. A COE is accurately completed by using 4A or 4B.**

Reason for using **4B** instead of 4A:

- ▶ Documentation on the COE is the most current and accurately depicts the family's move.

Ensure that COEs have proper comments.

Assessment Review

Scenario 3:

Mario Lopez is a 16-year old high school student who travels up north every summer for work. This year he arrived in Clinton, North Carolina on July 10, 2020, and picked blueberries. Since Mario still attends high school, he left his job in North Carolina on July 30, 2020, so that he could return to Mulberry, Florida where he resides with his parents and siblings during the school year to enroll in school.

Question 8:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did Mario make?

Assessment Review

Scenario 3:

Mario Lopez is a 16-year old high school student who travels up north every summer for work. This year he arrived in **Clinton, North Carolina on July 10, 2020**, and picked blueberries. Since Mario still attends high school, he left his job in North Carolina on July 30, 2020, so that he could return to Mulberry, Florida where he resides with his parents and siblings during the school year to enroll in school.

Question 8:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did Mario make?

Answer: One: Clinton, North Carolina on July 10, 2020.

Assessment Review

Scenario 3:

Mario Lopez is a 16-year old high school student who travels up north every summer for work. This year he arrived in Clinton, North Carolina on July 10, 2020, and picked blueberries. Since Mario still attends high school, he left his job in North Carolina on July 30, 2020, so that he could return to Mulberry, Florida where he resides with his parents and siblings during the school year to enroll in school.

Question 9:

True or False. Mario's QAD and the Residency Date is July 10, 2020.

Assessment Review

Scenario 3:

Mario Lopez is a 16-year old high school student who travels up north every summer for work. This year **he arrived in Clinton, North Carolina on July 10, 2020**, and picked blueberries. Since Mario still attends high school, he left his job in North Carolina on July 30, 2020, so that he could return to Mulberry, Florida where he resides with his parents and siblings during the school year to enroll in school.

Question 9:

True or False. Mario's QAD and the Residency Date is July 10, 2020.

Answer: False. Mario did not enter into the present school district on July 10, 2020.

Assessment Review

Scenario 4:

Alejandra Martinez and her four school-age children arrived in Lake Placid, FL on August 5, 2020, from Tifton, Georgia. Alejandra separated from her spouse and needed employment so she immediately searched for work in the blueberry fields when she and her children arrived in Florida. She was told she would be cleaning blueberry fields but was never called back about the work. Alejandra never worked in the fields before but she knew of the work since the family had moved to Georgia where her ex-spouse planted tomatoes in June 2020 soon after the move and had moved to Plant City, FL where he picked strawberries in September 2019 soon after the move.

Question 10:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Assessment Review

Scenario 4:

Alejandra Martinez and her four school-age children arrived in **Lake Placid, FL on August 5, 2020**, from Tifton, Georgia. Alejandra separated from her spouse and needed employment so she immediately searched for work in the blueberry fields when she and her children arrived in Florida. She was told she would be cleaning blueberry fields but was never called back about the work. Alejandra never worked in the fields before but she knew of the work since the family had moved to **Georgia** where her ex-spouse planted tomatoes **in June 2020** soon after the move and had moved to **Plant City, FL** where he picked strawberries in **September 2019** soon after the move.

Question 10:

According to the scenario above, how many qualifying moves did the children make?

Answer: Three: Florida in August 2020, Georgia in June 2020, and Florida in September 2019.

Assessment Review

Question 11:

True or False. An individual actively seeking qualifying work for the first time is able to use a recent history of moves to qualify for the program only if they are married to someone who is a migratory qualifying worker.

Assessment Review

Question 11:

True or False. An individual actively seeking qualifying work for the first time is able to use a recent history of moves to qualify for the program only if they are married to someone who is a migratory qualifying worker.

Would an individual actively seeking qualifying work for the first time be able to use a recent history of moves to qualify for the program if those moves were made with, or to join, a parent/guardian or spouse who was the migratory worker?

Yes, if those prior moves of a parent/guardian or spouse resulted in qualifying work. In this case, the Department believes the individual has made those moves “for temporary or seasonal agricultural or fishing employment.”

Non-Regulatory Guidance, C14

Assessment Review

Question 11:

True or False. An individual actively seeking qualifying work for the first time is able to use a recent history of moves to qualify for the program **only if they are married to** someone who is a migratory qualifying worker.

Answer: **False. An individual seeking qualifying work for the first time is able to use a recent history of moves if those prior moves of a parent/guardian or spouse resulted in qualifying work.**

Assessment Review

Question 12:

True or False. An individual may be considered a migratory qualifying worker as long as they actively sought qualifying work and have at least one recent history move in the preceding 36 months.

Assessment Review

Question 12:

True or False. An individual may be considered a migratory qualifying worker as long as they actively sought qualifying work and have at least one recent history move in the preceding 36 months.

How many moves would be considered “a recent history of moves”?

Given the plural form of the word “moves,” an individual must have made at least two moves for qualifying work within the time period the State establishes in which the “recent history of moves” must have occurred.

Non-Regulatory Guidance, C17

Assessment Review

Question 12:

True or False. An individual may be considered a migratory qualifying worker as long as they actively sought qualifying work and have **at least one recent history move** in the preceding 36 months.

Answer: **False. Given the plural form of the word “moves,” an individual must have made at least two moves for qualifying work.**

Training Attendance

Thank you for completing this training.

To count your attendance, please email the full name and title of each staff member who viewed this training and the date that it was viewed to the ID&R Office at fl-idr-office@escmail.org.

Example – “Lupi Ginn, Senior ID&R Trainer, viewed the Understanding Eligibility Using Scenarios Assessment Review training on 12/09/2020.”



Contact us via phone or email:

ID&R Office | Tampa, FL

Phone : 866.963.6677

general email:

fl-idr-office@escmail.org

